UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,618	04/14/2005	Kirvin L Hodge	18034-PCTUS	8180
	7590 08/26/200 sement Company. LLC	EXAMINER		
LEGAL DEPA	RTMENT	WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E		
930 CLOPPER GAITHERSBU	RG, MD 20878		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Commons		Application l	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/531,618		HODGE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kevin E. Wed	dington	1614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted period by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, and will apply and will ex ute, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) \	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	May 2008						
, —		nis action is non-	final					
3)	<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
- 4\⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-22 and 24-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	✓ Claim(s) <u>14-22 and 24-29</u> is/are allowed.							
·								
•	Claim(s) <u>6-11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.	law alaatian wasu	ina ma a m t					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requ	irement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5-14-08.	4) 5) 6)	二	te				

Claims 6-22 and 24-29 are presented for examination.

Applicants' amendment. Information disclosure, and response filed May 14, 2008 have been received and entered.

Accordingly, the rejections made under obviousness-type double patenting over claims 6-22 of copending Application No. 10/533,936; over claims 6-22 of copending Application No. 10/554,586; and over claims 1-66 of copending Application No. 11/535,779 in view of Reiffen et al. (5,604,225), Talley et al. (6,156,781), Mathieu et al. (5,665,387) and Griffin (US 2002/0028943) as set forth in the previous Office action dated November 30, 2007 at pages 2-4 as applied to claims 6-22 and 24-29 are hereby withdrawn because the compounds in the copending Applications are different from the compounds of the present application.

Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-22 and 24-29 are allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6-11 and 13 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating type II diabetes, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating type I diabetes or treating gestational diabetes. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Page 3

In this regard, the application disclosure and claims have been compared per factors indicated in the decision <u>In re Wands</u>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir., 1988) as to undue experimentation.

The factors include:

- 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary
- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided
- 3) the presence or absence of working examples
- 4) the nature of the invention
- 5) the state of the art
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art
- 7) the predictability of the art and
- 8) the breadth of the claims

The instant specification fails to provide guidance that would allow the skilled artisan background sufficient to practice that instant invention without resorting to undue experimentation in view of further discussion below.

Page 4

Applicants' remarks regarding the Office bears the burden of establishing that an invention does not satisfy the enablement requirement of 35 USC 112, first paragraph is noted, but is not deemed persuasive because the term "diabetes" is a broad term that includes type I, type II and gestational diabetes. The applicants' specification only shows test results for treating type II diabetes only. Applicants do not show how the active compound(s) used to treat type II diabetes can also treat type I diabetes. There are not known therapies for treating type I and type II diabetes with one active ingredient since type I diabetes is insulin-dependent and type II is non-insulin dependent, there are two different mechanisms involved in these two type of diabetes.

The rejection made under 35 USC 112, first paragraph (Scope of Enablement) is adhered to.

Claims 6-11 and 13 are not allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm-9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

/Kevin E. Weddington/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614